



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/475,092	08/09/2000	Luc E. Julia	60501-302302	3179

7590

12/09/2005

Brain R. Coleman Perkins Cole LLP
Patent Attorney
P.O. Box 2168
Menlo Park, CA 95026-2168

EXAMINER

BULLOCK JR, LEWIS ALEXANDER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2195

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/475,092	Applicant(s) JULIA ET AL.	
	Examiner Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	Art Unit 2195	

All Participants:

(1) Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.

(2) Carina Tan.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 7 December 2005
Time: ____

Type of Interview:


- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Double Patenting Rejection
Claims discussed:
1-47 and 49-56
Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


LEWIS A. BULLOCK, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant is corrected that the double patenting rejection referenced the incorrect patent number and that the correct patent number was 6,851,115. Therefore, the terminal disclaimer is correct in referring to both patent number 6,851,115 and application 09/271,614..